SINGLE BENCH 4

PAGE NO. 2

P.R. No. 4071/06
Presented by Shri BL Sahu
dated 28/7/06

C.F. 1001

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION 4069 /2006

PETITIONER — **NARENDRA KUMAR DEWANGAN**
S/o Late Shri N.R. Dewangan By. Post
Assistant Teacher Aged About 36 Yrs
R/o Block Colony Usribeda
(Lohandiguda) Tahsil Jagdalpur,
Bastar (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. **State of Chhattisgarh**
Through the Secretary,
Department of School Education
Department, D.K.S. Bhavan,
Mantralya Raipur.(C.G.)

2. **The Collector- Bastar ,**
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

3. **District Education Officer**
Jagdalpur, Bastar (C.G.)

4. **Block Education Officer**
Block- Lohandiguda , Tahsil
Jagdalpur Distt. Bastar {C.G.}

26/7/06

FD-COPY 28/7/06 BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI MANDAMUS ETC AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ ORDETS, DIRECTION/ DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.4009/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

08-08-2006

Shri Prakash Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged his transfer order dated 07.07.2006 (Annexure-P/1), passed by respondent No.2 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Middle School Dharaur, Lohandiguda to Primary School, Salna, Baderajpur.

The main ground of challenge is that the petitioner has been transferred just within a period of ten months which is contrary to Clause 2 (4) of the transfer policy. Further objection of the petitioner is that at the present place where the petitioner is working, he is the only teacher and after his transfer there would be no teacher and moreover, 170 students are studying in the said school.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the circumstances the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 highlighting the above anomalies and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel appearing for the State/ respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner appears to be just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances highlighting the above

--2

(पीछे देखिये)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1009/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	anomalies, annexing a copy of the instant writ petition within a period of ten days from today and in turn, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the same within a period of one month from the date of its receipt, considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in an objective manner, in accordance with law. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.). P. No.3118/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

(पीछे देखिये)